

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,306	09/912,306 07/26/2001		Takahiro Naka	107439-00049	8068
4372	7590	06/02/2005		EXAMINER	
ARENT FO	X PLLC		DUONG, THANH P		
1050 CONN	ECTICU	Γ AVENUE, N.W.			
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20036	1764		

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/912,306	NAKA ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tom P. Duong	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		=					
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application,							
applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the							
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a							
Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following							
time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		a final rejection which	:-				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1.136(a). The date on		\ and the anneantists ext	anaian faa basa				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37							
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)							
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s after the mailing date of the final rejection	on, even if timely filed, ma	ay reduce any				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App	eal, but prior to the date of filing ar	n appeal brief. The No	otice of Appeal				
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4	1.37 must be filed within two mont	hs of the date of filing	g the Notice of				
Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 C	OFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal. Since a	Notice of				
Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.	3/(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brio	f will not be entered	bassuss				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	r, will <u>not</u> be entered i TF below):	because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	12 201011),					
(c)⊠ They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 004)				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompilant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amondm	cont concoling				
the non-allowable claim(s).	mowable ii submitted iii a separate	, timely filed afficiliti	terit caricelling				
7 Por purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	7 🖾 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🖾 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	vided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: as stated in Final Rejection.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u>	not be entered				
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons willy the anita	vit of other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief	, will not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on or the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
See Continuation Sheet.	it does not place the application t	n condition for allowa	ince decause.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. Other:	, , ,						

m

The remarks filed on 5/23/05 have been carefully considered; however, the proposed amendment to claim 4, lines 11-16 raise new issues in this claim which would require further consideration and/or search.

Glenn Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700